



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,984	10/05/2000	Masayuki Ishikawa	172A 3003	8302
759	90 01/03/2002			
Koda and Androlia 10100 Santa Monica Blvd Suite 2340			EXAMINER	
			KINKEAD, ARNOLD M	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Anull Kinkind	Joseph Kenn Sol			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprended for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/arg pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
515 Claim(s) 405 is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1/1/3 is/afre/rejected.					
Claim(s)(is/are objected to.					
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
19) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No3			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers 1. have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

Figures 6 and 7 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that 2. which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: a claim cannot depend from itself. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/679,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connell(US 4,827,226) in view of Bal et al (US 5,764,112).

The reference by Connell discloses an integrated piezoelectric oscillator (see figure 1, and cols. 2-3) with amplifier(102) and resonator(104) and integrated variable varactors(C1,C2). An ac voltage is developed on terminals (A,B) while a regulated control terminal(118) is connected to the other terminal(4 volts an intermediate V-voltage within a range(0-5v)).

The reference does not show an ac voltage waveform with an intermediate voltage(V-volt) and does not specifically disclose a MOS type varactor. The reference by Bal et al is relied upon to show a waveform(see figure 4A) with ac voltage and Vreg(4volt line, shown by examiner) and the fact that this reference makes explicit the use of conventional MOS type capacitors(see figure 5 and col 4., line 29-end).

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized that the general reference of Connell for an integrated oscillator could have made use

Application/Control Number: 09/679,984 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

of the notoriously well known MOS type varactor for integration and that the control voltage would fall within a range for the V-voltage requirement, a simple matter of design consideration.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 4, and 5 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold Kinkead whose telephone number is (703) 305-3486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30 am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Arnold Kinkead

Dec. 29, 2001

or Kanand